Case 2:17-cy-00018   Document 219   Eiled on 10/19/20 in TXSD   Page 1 of 6	
Case 2:17-cy-00018 Document 219 Filed on 10/19/20 in TXSD Page 1 of 6	
Southern District of Texas	
Corpus Christi 1	Divisions,
	United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED
David Allen Haver Kamp	OCT 19 2020
David Allen Haver Kamp  AKA Bobbie Lee Haver Kamp  Plant of	David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court
Phant ff	
US	Caul Action
	2:17-00-18
Burrow, Johnson, Burrass	July Demand.
Wyrick, Beeson, Budgewater	/
Wyrick, Beeson, Budgewster Defendants	,
Objection to Notice of Appeal.	
. "	17
To the Honorable Judge of Said Court, Now Comes, Ms Bobbie	
Man Comes Ma Bobbie	
AKA Ha Plant CC and floor a shipston to	
He water of Defendent Asses	
AKA the Plaintiff and files a objection to the notice of Defendants Appeal.	
Objections	
Jacob Marca	
Vous Homas Ha Plant III	
Your Honor, the Plantiff received the District Court order that the 5th Circuit	
by I down a I the Stay on the Date down to	
had denied the Stry on the Defendants	
	<del></del>

Then the District Jadge Accepted He
Then the District Judge sacrepted He Magistrates M/R, which the Magistrate Judge
proceeded to order, Barrow, Johnson, Burners
When & Bresser Bridge waters to Austrella
Cuil Action 2:17-cu-18 by Nov 6, 2020.
On Oct 14 2000 O get the De Gudento
the following that has be some "The
Classification of the Company of the
Time of a notice of Appeal to and
on Oct 14, 2020 I get the Defendante notice of Appeal that bas, ly says, "The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance—it condered jurisdiction on the court of
concers juresolven on the court of
appeals and divest the dustrict Court of its
control over those Aspecto of the case unolved in the Appleal"
_ involved in the Applal.
1) The Planotidt is confused, the appeal is about "entitlement to sovereign munumty"
is about entitlement to sovereign innumby
Down up to the 5th Circuit Con Sovereign immunity" and a "Stay"
ran up to the 5th Circuit Con Sovereign
monunity" and a Stay.
,
3) The 5th Circuit denied the Stay and
(3) The 5th Circuit denied the "Stay" and the District Court proceeded forward
4) This Oct 9, 2020 Notice of Appeal
is for the same error as the
4) This Oct 9, 2020 Notice of Appeal is for the same error as the first Banch - Office I Immunity.

- (5) Your Honor, I can't tell the Defendants how to manage their case but the Plant. It satisfyed the Ex Parte Young standards with all flag flying.
- E) So, of these appeals are enderlocatory then if the 2nd Bunch of Defendants / are part of the 1st Bunch And the guestion is this "Are they going to answers the Co. ( Action Nov 6, 2020 or not?
- OK, the District Court Bost control
  over the Sovereign unium. Tx Issue"
  the 5th Circuit never gave the Zwa Boneh
  "carte blanche" ex-post facto "Stay"
  not to answer? He Cro. I Actron on
- B) The way I understood the 5th Circuits
  ruling, the Civ. 1 Action proceeds, regardless
  of what Dedendents file what our
  Immunity
- Defendants have to be on the same page, if not this will duag on -ow-on.

Case 2:17-cv-00018 Document 219 Filed on 10/19/20 in TXSD Page 4 of 6
10) The 5th Correct terms down the "Star"
1 Ha Cat Bank I Dara da ta
of the first Bunch of Defendants _
Cio. ( Action).
Co 71 2 md B 1 1 2 trad 5
The a work is view en a modernoony
Appear Trey meles to ansceres the Cisit
De 2nd Bunch is tred in a substockry  Appeal tey need to answer the Civ. (
Sammary
Vana Hayana 1 at to the
Your Honor, Jan 2021 starts the C.v. 1 Actions 5th year. It's not going AWAY, its a actue case, All this delay 200 Strickly on the Defendants.
13. Francis Company
185 a Active case, All the contract
Strickly on the wedendands.
Yet, In He one that need the
non-invasue treatmente le 5th Corant
SAID WAS OK
Con anyone make a exception
_ and allow me the non-invasive
treatments, or Do Hey just get to Sex-
Olsotype me
Demember, in ble Tel-conserve
Oh, we well have a answer Booken
Buroutho well the District Jedge
3 months well the District Tedge worted 3 norths from the 5th Circuits

Case 2:17-cv-00018 Document 219 Filed on 10/19/20 in TXSD Page 5 of 6
raling Hen adopted the Magatrates
Tidas M/R managed of rough
Tudger M/R recommendations
The Plant of sitisfed the Ex Parte Young rulings, so whats the Defendants beef ?
Van a religion so what the Defendants
0 0-12
- Ceef
OK, Im all en just to let the Court know — I got a 95 page thesis on genune deboteable issues tos a trier
the Court know cl got a 95 page thesis
on genune deboteable issues tes a trier
of fact. All the Court needs to say is
D'Mail it" with en 72 hours it will be
winging it way to le filed
Your Honor, the Defendants  are your to answer the civil Action,  clim not your no place, I'm not  quiting, I expect a answer.
and war to australia and Actor)
the distribution of the state o
de la company has proce , in mo
Jantino, d'explit à ansais.
So Prays He Plantiff Ms Bobbine
Ms Bobbine
Contificate of Service.
Δ
These was not served on the Defendants,
its ASK the Court for clarification on
is the Cro. ( Action going to be anower
on Nov 6, 2020 ob not.
So Prays He Plantoff
Ms Bobbie
Oct 16, 2020